

SEP 1 9 2001

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 WWW.USPTO.GOV

EMERY L TRACY P O BOX 1518 BOULDER, CO 80306

FOR PATENT OWNER

#13

JOHN DODDS DODDS AND ASSOCIATES 1742 N ST, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

FOR REQUESTER

In re Proctor Reexamination Proceeding Control No.: 90/005,892 Filed: December 20, 2000 For: U.S. Patent No. 5,894,079

In re Proctor
Reissue Application
Serial No. 09/773,303
Filed: January 31, 2001

For: U.S. Patent No. 5,894,079

: DECISION RETURNING : IMPROPER PAPER

The letter filed August 28, 2001, is before the Group Director of Examining Group 1600/2900. The letter is addressed to the merged reexamination/reissue proceedings as indicated in the decision merging the reexamination and reissue files, mailed June 13, 2001. The letter clearly indicates that reissue rules control in the merged proceeding. While a protest by a third party under 37 CFR 1.291 is permitted in a reissue application (or merged proceeding) the letter does not qualify as a protest and therefor is an improper paper.

The letter is also an improper papers under the reexamination rules (37 CFR 1.550(e)) as the third party requester has no entry rights in the examination of a reexamination proceeding. The only possible right is conditioned on a patent owner reply to the order granting reexamination which did not occur in the above identified reexamination proceeding prior to merger with the reissue application. Nor can the filing of the reissue application be considered a patent owner reply in any sense since it was filed prior to the order granting reexamination.

The letter is being returned as improper under both reissue and reexamination rules.

John Doll
Director, Technology Center 1600